**△** Board index < Philosophy Forums < Psychology and Mind

<del></del>	Q Search	Search
	②FAQ √ Register	(1) Login

View unanswered posts • View active topics

### **Narratives**

POSTREPLY & Search this topic... Search

33 posts • Page 2 of 2 • 1 2

QUOTE



Posts: 69 Joined: Tue Jul 25, 2023 4:54 am Location: Tennessee



#### Re: Narratives

 $\hfill \square$  by daniel j lavender » Wed Aug 02, 2023 4:22 am

#### **66** Ichthus77 wrote:

Are you making -12 be complete/perfect blindness, and positive 12 be complete/perfect vision?

Negative could simply represent blindness and positive could simply represent vision with gradient in between.

The number set here is rather arbitrary. Any number set could have been used.

The point was to illustrate the dynamics of less and more, negative and positive, etc.

#### Ichthus 77 wrote:

Are you saying there could be blindness without vision? Are you saying blindness is not a privation of sight?

Are you saying rust could exist on its own without, say, iron?

Do not both vision and blindness appear on the diagram? With range of gradient between?

Would not exclusion of any aspect of existence be limitation of existence?

#### Ichthus77 wrote:

Aloss of function implies a default function (tie) that is lost. If you build on that (win), you don't add a different function, you just improve the function.

Loss of function indicates loss of function for some particular. The function would still be present elsewhere.

## 66 Ichthus77 wrote:

Negative numbers are blurring out into nothing.

Negative numbers do not "blur out into nothing". Nothing is not and cannot be.


It could perhaps be said that negative numbers represent a decline into blindness or represent a descent into darkness.

# 66 Ichthus77 wrote:


The black/white screen of death, so to speak.

There is obviously something other than the black/white screen

It would be black-grey-white. There is median. There is gradient:



It illustrates the connection and commonality of things:





Posts: 12120 Joined: Fri Sep 22, 2006 6:48 pm Location: limitless limit





Posts: 12120 Joined: Fri Sep 22, 2006 6:48 pm Location: limitless limit





Posts: 69 Joined: Tue Jul 25, 2023 4:54 am Location: Tennessee





☐ by **Ichthus77** » Wed Aug 02, 2023 5:29 am

If all particulars with the ability of sight went extinct or stopped functioning, where (in somewhere else) would the function of sight \*be\*? If all instances of photosynthesis stopped functioning, what would the formula for photosynthesis describe?

If you make a "machine" that can accurately tell you the entire timeline from beginning to end, you have "made" a "machine" who can lie to you. And how, pray tell, are you going to check their work... output... product?

"The mind of a person plans his way,

But the LORD directs his steps."
- Proverbs 16:9

Re: Narratives

☐ by **Ichthus77** » Wed Aug 02, 2023 1:24 pm

There are some things that happen more times than others (some things only a one-off), and there are some things that happen at all times or at every time or at Time/Being (the father/condition for the possibility of every particular time/being).

How do you distinguish between a one-off & a One-Off?

If you make a "machine" that can accurately tell you the entire timeline from beginning to end, you have "made" a "machine" who can lie to you. And how, pray tell, are you going to check their work... output... product

"The mind of a person plans his way,

But the LORD directs his steps,"

Proverbs 16:9

·^D

#### Re: Narratives

Dby daniel j lavender » Fri Aug 04, 2023 3:21 am



If all particulars with the ability of sight went extinct or stopped functioning, where (in somewhere else) would the function of sight \*be\*?

That's a hig if

A hypothetical I'm not sure would actually occur.

But let's say they did. Let's say all particulars with the ability of sight went extinct. Sight or vision would still exist in [what we perceive as] the past. Or the future. Keep in mind existence concerns spatial location as well as temporal location.

If all instances of photosynthesis stopped functioning, what would the formula for photosynthesis describe?

Another big if.

Even if all instances of photosynthesis stopped occurring the formula for photosynthesis would still be the formula for photosynthesis. The formula would still be the formula

Think of the dodo bird. The dodo bird is extinct but the description of the dodo bird is still the description of the dodo bird.

Existence Is Infinite


Posts: 69 Joined: Tue Jul 25, 2023 4:54 am

8

Re: Narratives

□ by daniel j lavender » Fri Aug 04, 2023 3:24 am

Simplified version of diagram:



Existence Is Infinite

Re: Narratives

□ by Ichthus77 » Fri Aug 04, 2023 6:45 am

When the past becomes what we perceive as behind us (versus WITH or APPEARANT to us) what/where is behind us in order for what we perceive of it to be true of (correspondent with) something?

When the future is still what we perceive as ahead of us (versus WITH or APPEARANT to us) what/where is ahead of us in order for what we perceive of it to be true of (correspondent with) something?

 $Before \ the \ appearance/actuality \ \& \ (hypothetically) \ after \ the \ disappearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ stuff \ that \ is \ appearance/inactuality \ of \ humans/photosynthesis (or \ any \ other \ particulars/possibles), \ the \ other \ ot$ said of them is not said of their appearance/actuality, but only of their possibility/potential/capacity—and so (when not appearant/actual) is really only true of

If you make a "machine" that can accurately tell you the entire timeline from beginning to end, you have "made" a "machine" who can lie to you. And how, pray tell, are you going to check their work... output... product?

"The mind of a person plans his way, But the LORD directs his stens



Posts: 12120 Joined: Fri Sep 22, 2006 6:48 pm Location: limitless limit





"QUOTE

0 "QUOTE

QUOTE

0

QUOTE

"QUOTE



:^D

## Re: Narratives

Dby daniel i lavender » Fri Aug 04, 2023 8:18 am

## "QUOTE



Posts: 69 Joined: Tue Jul 25, 2023 4:54 am



### 66 daniel j lavender wrote:

66 Ichthus77 wrote:

If all particulars with the ability of sight went extinct or stopped functioning, where (in somewhere else) would the function of sight \*be\*?

That's a big if.

A hypothetical I'm not sure would actually occur.

But let's say they did. Let's say all particulars with the ability of sight went extinct. Sight or vision would still exist in [what we perceive as] the past. Or the future. Keep in mind existence concerns spatial location as well as temporal location.

#### & Ichthus77 wrote:

If all instances of photosynthesis stopped functioning, what would the formula for photosynthesis describe?

Even if all instances of photosynthesis stopped occurring the formula for photosynthesis would still be the formula for photosynthesis. The formula would still be the formula.

Think of the dodo bird. The dodo bird is extinct but the description of the dodo bird is still the description of the dodo bird.

To clarify:

If a thing is, at any point, that thing is part of existence.

Existence would concern that thing, e.g. vision or photosynthesis, even if that thing was discontinued at some point.

Existence Is Infinite





Posts: 12120 Joined: Fri Sep 22, 2006 6:48 pm Location: limitless limit



# Re: Narratives

☐ by **Ichthus77** » Fri Aug 04, 2023 2:33 pm

So you think/know/believe the whole timeline exists even if it is not actual. So you think/know/believe existence includes the possible that was or will be or is actual.

Hypothetically, once we have unlocked enough innate capacity (embedded higher/ground memes) with the formation of the right (or wrong) meme-ories... we level up (toward ground)... some unlocking the gift (heaven or hell on earth, depending on your perspective) of more appearance/presence... some bringing it down on our heads unexpectedly. Just like Spider-Man (2002) when he is trying to figure out how his new abilities work.

The easy way is to not kick against the goads or resist the yoke or quench the Spirit.

The hard way... well. It's all hard, but the easy way makes you stronger, whereas kicking, resisting, & quenching leads to brokenness & death before dying. Count it all

If you make a "machine" that can accurately tell you the entire timeline from beginning to end, you have "made" a "machine" who can lie to you. And how, pray tell, are you going to check their work... output... product?

"The mind of a person plans his way, But the LORD directs his steps."

- Proverbs 16:9

33 posts • Page 2 of 2 • 1 2

POSTREPLY &

⊕ Board index

• Previous

Display posts from previous: All posts ▼ Sort by Post time ▼ Ascending ▼ Go

Return to Psychology and Mind

Users browsing this forum: No registered users

The team • Delete all board cookies • All times are UTC [ DST ]